Urban Agriculture/Community Garden Literature Review Summary Table

| Author/citation | Type of study | Sample | Limitations | Key findings | Recommendations for |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | HIA |
| Litt, JS: The influence of social involvement, neighborhood aesthetics, and community garden participation on fruit and vegetable consumption. American Journal of Public Health: Aug 2011 V 101, No 8 | Cross sectional study, plus qualitative interviews – evaluated social involvement, perception of neighborhood aesthetics, and fruit and vegetable consumption | 437 residents across 58 block groups in Denver, CO | Self selection bias, self report fruit/veg consumption, physical activity, etc. | Community gardeners consumed an average of more fruits and vegetables than the home and non-gardeners. Community gardeners reported the highest level of physical activity. This study also found that perceived neighborhoods aesthetics, neighborhood attachment, and social support and involvement were a positively correlated with fruit and veg consumption. | ✓ Include community gardens in land-planning process ✓ Consider community gardens as a primary and permanent open space option as part of master planning efforts ✓ Establish zoning codes that protect gardens, while liberally allowing them in appropriate zone codes and identify them as a use of right ✓ Consider gardens as a viable use across institutionalized properties where gardens become part of the permanent programming of a site, such as schools or affordable housing developments |
| McCormack, LA: Review of the | Review of research | 7 farmers' | Lack of | See key studies | ✓ Locate community |
| nutritional implications of | articles examining | market studies | available | below | gardens and farmers' |
| farmers' markets and | the nutrition | 4 community | research with | Farmers' markets | markets in food |
| community gardens: a Call for | impacts of | garden studies | control groups | and community | desert areas. |
| evaluation and research efforts. | community gardens | | to evaluate | gardens likely | |
| Journal of the American | and farmers' | | | increase intake of | |
| Dietetic Association: March | markets | | | fruits and | |

| 2040 V 440 N = 2 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------------|--|
| 2010 V 110, No 3 | | | vegetables, | |
| | | | especially food | |
| | | | desert areas. | |
| | | | Community gardens | |
| | | | and farmers' | |
| | | | markets assist with | |
| | | | community building | |
| | | | and improve social | |
| | | | well being. | |
| Alaimo K. fruit and vegetable | Cross sectional | Cannot p | prove Community garden | |
| intake among urban | random phone | causality | households | |
| community gardeners. J | survey | | consumed more | |
| Nutrition education and | | | than non gardening | |
| Behavior. 2008 V 40; 94-101 | | | households. Adults | |
| , , , , , , | | | who were | |
| | | | participants of | |
| | | | gardens were 3.5 | |
| | | | more likely to | |
| | | | consume 5 f/v per | |
| | | | day | |
| | | | day | |
| | | | Gardeners are more | |
| | | | likely to participate | |
| | | | in neighborhood | |
| | | | cleanup events | |
| Blair D. A dietary, social and | Cross-sectional case | Cannot p | · | |
| economic evaluation of the | control matched | causality | | |
| Philadelphia Urban gardening | survey | caasanty | significantly more of | |
| program: J of Nutrition | Jaivey | | five vegetable | |
| Education. V 23 161-167 | | | categories than non | |
| 1998 | | | gardeners | |
| 1330 | | | Gardeners | |
| | | | consumed less fruit, | |
| | | | · · | |
| | | | milk, and sweet | |
| | | | foods and drinks | |
| | | | than non gardeners | |

| Lackey J. evaluation of community gardens (a program of the University of Wisconsin Cooperative extension. UW Extension 1998. www.uwex.edu.pdande/evaluation/pdf/comgardens.pdf | Cross- sectional design using qualitative and quantitative surveys | | Cannot prove causality | Gardeners are more likely to participate in neighborhood cleanup events Gardeners reported consuming 11.1 vegetable servings vs. 4.5 servings in non gardeners. Gardeners reported eating a balanced diet significantly more than non gardeners Gardener reported value in transferring cultural heritage | |
|---|--|--|------------------------|---|--|
| Johnson DB, Smith LT. testing the Recommendations of the Washington State nutrition and physical activity plan: The Moses Lake case study. Preventing Chronic disease. 2006 V 3; A 64 | Cross-sectional survey | | Cannot prove causality | younger generations More than half of gardeners reported eating more fruits and vegetables as a result of gardening. 81% reported that the garden helped stretch food dollars. All second year gardeners reported healthier lifestyle due to gardening. | |
| Armstrong D. A survey of community gardens in update New York: implications for health promotion and community development. Health and Place: 2000 V 6 No 4 | Descriptive study | 20 garden programs representing 63 gardens in New York | | 90% of garden program coordinators reported fresh food and better tasting food as a benefit of | ✓ Recommend a mechanism for community gardening permanency. Zoning for gardening or long term leases, will |

| Heim S. A garden pilot project | Pre and post tests. | Convenience | Self report, | community gardening. 70% reported exercise as a garden benefit. 75% reported mental health benefits. 80% reported the enjoyment of nature/open space. 11% reported that their garden site may be in jeopardy to development. (improved nutrition, physical activity, and mental health) Children involved in | enable gardens to be a sustainable land use within city's landscape |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| enhances fruit and vegetable consumption among children. J ADA. July 2009.V 109 P 1220- 1226. | | sample of 93 4 th -6 th graders in summer camp were provided Delicious and Nutritious Garden. | social desirability. | the garden program tried significantly more types of fruits and vegetables, regularly ate more fruits and vegetables, and asked for fruits and vegetables more often at home. | based programming in schools, summer camps, or other youth programs. |
| Robinson-O'Brien. Impact of garden-based youth nutrition intervention programs: a Review. JADA. Feb 2009. V 109 No 2. 273-280. | Review of youth based garden nutrition intervention studies | 11 studies were reviewed | Lack of empirical evidence in this area. | Garden based nutrition intervention programs may promote increased fruit and vegetable intake among youth, and may increase | |

| | | | willingness to try |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | fruit/veg. |
| McAleese JD. Garden-based | Pre post test, | 99 sixth graders | Significant increase |
| nutrition education affects fruit | intervention and | | of F/V intake among |
| and vegetable consumption in | control | | garden/nutrition |
| sixth-grade adolescents. JADA | | | education group |
| 2007; V 107 662-665 | | | compared to |
| | | | nutrition education |
| | | | only and control |
| | | | group |
| Morris JL. Garden –enhanced | Pre and post test | 213 fourth | Preference for snow |
| nutrition curriculum improves | intervention and | graders | peas and zucchini |
| fourth-grade school children's | control, and 6 | | was highest among |
| knowledge of nutrition and | month follow up | | garden/nutrition ed |
| preferences fro some | | | group compared to |
| vegetables. JADA. 2002; 102: | | | nutrition ed only |
| 91-93 | | | (and control). This |
| | | | preference |
| | | | remained |
| | | | significantly higher |
| | | | at the 6 month f/u |
| | | | Significant increase |
| | | | in nutrition |
| | | | knowledge among |
| | | | garden/nutrition |
| | | | education group and |
| | | | nutrition education |
| | | | only compared to |
| | | | control group |
| Morris JL. First-grade gardeners | Pre post | 97 first graders | Intervention |
| more likely to taste vegetables. | intervention control | | students were more |
| California Agriculture. 2001: 43- | | | likely to be willing to |
| 46 | | | taste several |
| | | | vegetables. |
| Lineberger S. School gardens: | Pre post tests | 111 third | Significant increase |

| can a hands-on teaching tool affect students' attitudes and behaviors regarding fruit and vegetables? Horticultural Technology. 2000; 10: 593-597 | | graders | in vegetable preference (no change in fruit preference). Significant increase in F/V snack preference | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Lautenschlager L. Understanding gardening and dietary habits among youth garden program participants using the Theory of Planned Behavior. Appetite. 2007: 49: 122-130 | Pre and post tests | 66 8-15 yr olds. | Significant increase in fruit and veg intake among boys | |
| Lautenschlager L. Beliefs, knowledge, and values held by inner- city youth about gardening, nutrition and cooking. Agriculture and Human Values. 2007; 24: 245- 258 | Pre and post tests gardeners and nongardeners | 40 9-15 yr olds | Gardeners were more willing to eat F/C, try unfamiliar foods, were more likely to cook, garden, and appreciated other cultures. | |
| Koch S. The effect of a summer garden program on the nutritional knowledge, attitudes and behaviors of children. Horticultural Technology. 2006; 16: 620-624 | Pre, mid, post tests | 56 2-5 graders | Significant improvement in healthy snack consumption and knowledge of the benefits of F/V | |
| Van den Berg A. Gardening promotes neuroendocrine and affective restoration from stress | Controlled Experiment tested salivary cortisol before, during, and after a stress induction among gardeners and readers | 30 adults (all gardeners, 14 exposed to gardening, 16 exposed to reading during experiment) | Gardeners had lower cortisol levels post stress induction. This research can therefore recommend that gardening is an appropriate stress | ✓ Governments should provide space for gardens |

| Wakefield S. Growing urban health: community gardening in South-East Toronto. Health Promotion International. V 22 No 2. Feb 2007 | Case study of gardens in Toronto, CA (Observation, focus groups, interviews) | 55 people in focus groups, 13 interviews. | reduction or restorative activity. Health benefits include access to healthy food, improved nutrition, increase physical activity and improved mental health. Access to healthy food was a very prominent theme. Other themes included improving self. | ✓ ✓ | Ensure that gardens establish permanency/tenure. Include the community gardens in the community plans. |
|--|--|---|---|--------|--|
| | | | improving self esteem, social cohesion, empowerment, skill development. Land tenure | | |
| | | | insecurity was also a major theme. | | |
| Dickenson J. Community gardens: lessons learned from California healthy cities and communities. American Journal of Public Health. 2003: 93, 1435-1438 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |